OASIS LegalXML Electronic Court Filing (ECF) Technical Committee (TC)

Conference Call Minutes

July 7, 2015 11am –noon EDT / 3pm – 4pm UTC

US: 866-939-8416  
International: 678-302-3534  
Participant code: 8330460  
Optional Chat/Queue: [http://webconf.soaphub.org/conf/room/oasis-ecf](http://webconf.soaphub.org/conf/room/oasis-ecf )

In attendance (quorum attained):

* Bryant Austin
* Jim Cabral
* Eric Eastman
* Gary Graham
* Jim Harris
* Elysa Jones
* George Knecht
* Jim McMillan
* Jim Price
* Old Business

1. Review/approval of previous meeting minutes
2. Outreach
3. ECF 4.01 Specification Updates based on Springboard feedback for approval
   1. ECF 4.01 Core Specification Errata 02 was approved Committee Specification/Public Review Draft and public review closed June 30.
      1. <http://docs.oasis-open.org/legalxml-courtfiling/specs/ecf/v4.01/ecf-v4.01-spec/os/ecf-v4.01-spec-os.html>
      2. Comments: <https://www.oasis-open.org/apps/org/workgroup/legalxml-courtfiling/email/archives/201506/msg00000.html>

* Received comments from OASIS staff (broken links, etc.)
  + Nothing substantive
  + Record comments in worksheet
  + Make adjustments
  + no other comments received
  + A motion was made and seconded to approve 4.01 Errata 02 with corrections identified by OASIS staff
    - The motion carried unanimously
    - Jim Cabral will update comment log, make adjustments and submit for publication as Errata 02
  1. ECF 4.01 Web Services SIP formatted as SSP with Springboard feedback for approval as Committee Specification/Public Review Draft. Updated with
     1. Provision of soapAction for each binding in the WSDL
     2. PaymentMessage is now optional ReviewFiling message in WSDL
     3. Revisions to use of SOAPfaults
     4. Inclusion of SOAP samples
        1. Gary raised question about SOAP examples not being compliant with WS-SIP section 2.5
           1. Examples are non-normative
           2. Problem with language of specification?
           3. Jim C to examine further
     5. Reorganized to follow latest GRA Service Specification Guidelines
     6. <https://www.oasis-open.org/apps/org/workgroup/legalxml-courtfiling/members/action_item.php?action_item_id=3449>
* Only remaining issue is compliance of SOAP samples with WS-SIP section 2.5
* Naming of operations
* Best practice is to name operation the same as 1st element in the XML
* Current examples do not do that correctly
* Problem – current implementations, including Springboard – which are aligned to current schemas
  + Change to elements could break implementations
  + Raised question with implementers on the call as to how they would be impacted
  + Bryant – followed WSDL vs. spec
  + Eric – responding to customers use; Tyler’s implementation may be only impact
  + Gary – AZ using wrap method with 2.5 conformant name
  + Springboard – aligned with WSDL;
  + Right way would be to change WSDL to align with spec section 2.5
  + Much discussion re implications
* Motion by Jim Harris and seconded by Gary Graham to change the WSDL so that it conforms with section 2.5 and publish the new SIP as version WS-SIP v2.1
  + Motion carried with no one opposed
  + Jim Harris will make changes to the WSDL and post the updated SIP as a v2.1 draft SSP

1. ECF 5.0 Core Specification
   1. Current: Working Draft 01
      1. <https://www.oasis-open.org/apps/org/workgroup/legalxml-courtfiling/download.php/50778/ecf-v5.0-spec-wd01.docx>
   2. Change Log:
      1. <https://www.oasis-open.org/apps/org/workgroup/legalxml-courtfiling/download.php/50779/Change%20Log.doc>
   3. Limited Electronic Service of Process
      1. <https://www.oasis-open.org/apps/org/workgroup/legalxml-courtfiling/members/action_item.php?action_item_id=3493>
      2. Jim Price needs to format the Electronic Service of Process alternatives document as a Public Review document.
      3. The Committee needs to approve it as a Committee Note and submit it for Public Review (Full Majority Vote).
      4. Public Review will include contacts at the National Association of Professional Process Services (NAPPS), CALSPro and ABC Legal Services

* TC members should review
* Recommended solution in the document suggests the TC will come up with some ideas on how to implement
  + Alternatives are outlined
  + Should we identify proposed solution? -- Yes
* Would like to get feedback from public review before September F2F
* Jim Price and George Knecht will update the document and post to the TC list
* TC members should review and provide feedback By July 27
* Jim Cabral will be unavailable during weeks of July 13 and July 20, but will review any feedback upon his return on July 27 and submit to OASIS staff for public review process
* If process is slowed for any reason, we can still separately solicit input from identified groups (NAPPS, CALSPro and ABC Legal Services)
  1. Scheduling
     1. Online working session to discuss requirements scheduled for 7/9 2pm EDT.
     2. CalConnect will then come back with requirements.
     3. Target recommendations before F2F at CTC.
     4. <http://www.calconnect.org>
* TC members are encouraged to participate
* Join conference call/web meeting – July 9, 2pm ET
  1. Evidence Tracking
     1. Jim Harris will review use cases.
* Should we generalize for any type of attachment or reference (not just evidence)?
* Document signature profiles
  + Nobody, at least back then, used them
  + But use cases may be more appropriate now
  + Bar for digital signatures is lower
* Separate issue from evidence
* Jim Cabral created a new TC action item for external references and digital signatures – assigned to Jim McMillan
* Jim Price and Jim Harris will finalize use cases and recommended approach before the September F2F
  1. Extensions and impact on interoperability
     1. Task team to revisit approach for extensions and consider changes for ECF 5:
        1. discoverability
        2. scalability
        3. implementability
     2. consider current approach using NIEM-conformant extensions and court policy versus core messages with container for customizations/additional elements
     3. consider providing training and/or non-normative guidance documentation to reduce inconsistencies between implementations (e.g. NIEM extensions).
     4. <https://www.oasis-open.org/apps/org/workgroup/legalxml-courtfiling/members/action_item.php?action_item_id=3768>
* No progress to report just yet, but Jim Cabral working on it

1. Action Items

<https://www.oasis-open.org/apps/org/workgroup/legalxml-courtfiling/members/action_items.php>

* New Business

1. Implementer contributions for ECF 5.0
   1. Springboard?
   2. Tyler?
   3. Green Filing?
   4. Tybera?

* Jim Cabral asked that implementers provide feedback on issues/problems in ECF 4
  + Extensions
  + New operations
  + Etc.
* For consideration at F2F in September

1. Schedule

Next TC Conference Call: August 11, 2015 11am –noon EST / 4pm – 5pm UTC

Next TC Face-To-Face Meeting: September 24-25, 2015 Minneapolis, MN in conjunction with CTC)

* Planning to attend:
  + Jim Cabral
  + Jim Harris
  + Jim McMillan
  + Gary Graham
  + George Knecht or Eric Eastman
* Jim McMillan will work with member section steering committee to budget for A/V costs at the F2F